IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7081 OF 2005

Mukund Virupaksh Kheradkar .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7103 OF 2005

Mrs.Shalini Mukund Kheradkar .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.S.J.Patil for applicant
Mr.S.S.Tatkare, A.P.P. for State

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 22nd November 2005.

P.C.

. Applicants are arrayed as accused in C.R.No.78 of 2003 registered with Tasgaon police station. It appears that one Mr.Dinesh Madhavrao was arrayed as accused, although it was his

grievance that he set the criminal law in motion. Goods were kept in cold storage and the employees of the cold storage prepared bogus documents and obtained loans from various banks. Thereafter, these goods were disposed of and that is how the owners of goods as well as bank has been cheated.

2. By my order dated 17th November 2005 in Criminal Application No.7163 of 2005 I had enlarged accused Dinesh Madhavrao Pawar on bail. Present application is made by accused Nos.4, 5 and 6.

3. It is not in serious dispute that the applicants are similarly situated as that of others and allegations are more or less identical with that of Criminal Application No.7163 of 2005. Therefore, for the reasons set out in the said order and also in the light of the fact that applicant in Application No.7103 is a house wife coupled with the fact that incident alleged is of

2002 and F.I.R. is lodged in 2003, the applicants deserve to be enlarged on bail. The investigation was completed after more than two years of the alleged incident. The offences are triable by J.M.F.C. Hence, the following order:-

i) Applicants shall be enlarged on bail in connection with C.R.78 of 003 registered at Tasgaon police station, Sangli in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

ii) Applicants shall report to C.I.D. Sangli, twice a week from 10.00 a.m. to 12.00 noon till the trial commences;

iii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat to any prosecuion witnesses and shall not in any manner

tamper with prosecution evidence.

iv) Applicants shall cooperate with the trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the applicants to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

v) Any observations made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

vi) Applications are disposed of in the above terms.

vii) Parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)